Corporate Governance Committees: Strategic Evolution in Practice

Nihal MASHAKI — Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, Alarko Holding
Board Member | Corporate Governance and ESG Advisor | Member of the IFC and EBRD Nominee Director Candidate Pools

A New Era for Corporate Governance

Corporate governance is entering a new era. Across global markets, boards are rethinking how they operate. What I consistently observe, regardless of sector or jurisdiction is a growing recognition that governance must be tailored. The most effective boards design models that fit their identity, empower their committees, and elevate long term value creation. And in every environment I have worked in, one committee consistently emerges as the true enabler: the Corporate Governance Committee (CGC).

The modern CGC designs governance, builds board effectiveness, develops directors, guides succession, and ensures governance drives better decisions.

This global shift reflects a simple but powerful question that the best committees now ask themselves: "**Is our governance helping us think better, decide better, and lead better?**"

Governance is no longer a checklist; it is a strategic capability.

Governance Frameworks Must Evolve with Business

Organizations evolve, and their governance must evolve with them. Growth, diversification, digital transformation, and generational transitions all require governance structures that are flexible and forward looking. At Alarko Holding, this understanding recently guided the redesign of our Delegation of Authority Matrix, undertaken not as an administrative exercise, but as a strategic recalibration to ensure that authority, accountability, and decision making remain aligned with the company's priorities and future ambitions.

Good governance should make decisions faster, clearer, and more intelligent.

When CGCs Lead, Boards Learn

The modern board agenda is more complex than ever. AI, cybersecurity, climate risks, geopolitical shifts, and digital transformation require directors who are informed, curious, and globally aware. CGCs now assess whether the board has the right mix of skills, diversity, and perspectives. The strongest boards invest in continuous learning such as short programs, scenario workshops, and exposure to global practices, because a board that keeps learning is a board that stays relevant.

What has stood out to me recently is how leading CGCs are redesigning the very architecture of board work. They are creating new agenda formats and discussion frameworks that balance business performance, emerging trends, culture, and long term strategic actions. Minutes are becoming more purposeful, capturing key takeaways and decision logic rather than simply recording attendance. In several companies, I have seen follow up actions assigned to both senior and junior observers, creating a natural mentorship cycle and strengthening governance continuity. These shifts show that a company's story can be broader and more dynamic, while remaining coherent with its core values

Investor Relations: An Underestimated Governance Partner

Investor Relations (IR) is one of the board's most strategic partners. IR teams engage daily with investors, analysts, proxy advisors, and rating agencies, giving them a unique understanding of market expectations, governance trends, and external perceptions.

In Turkey, IR is not only an invitee member or the secretary of the Corporate Governance Committee, it is a natural member by design. This is not merely a legal requirement; it reflects the essential role IR plays in keeping the governance system coherent, transparent, and connected to the outside world. Their presence ensures that the CGC remains aligned with investor expectations, regulatory developments, and emerging global practices. As a result, the relationship between the CGC Chair and the IR lead has become one of the most critical governance relationships, anchoring the committee, strengthening its insight, and ensuring that governance decisions are informed, balanced, and forward looking

Culture Is the Foundation of Governance Effectiveness

Policies matter, but culture determines whether governance works in practice. In my work with boards across different regions, I have seen how profoundly culture shapes the way governance is lived. In Denmark, I experienced the strength of collective learning, how a simple pause for reflection can elevate the entire conversation. In the UK, I saw a discipline of risk prioritization so sharp that every meeting became a lesson in clarity and constructive challenge. In Turkey, I witnessed the delicate balance between founder influence and modern governance expectations, where legacy and transformation must walk side by side. In KSA, I observed a powerful sense of stewardship and long term responsibility, where governance is anchored in trust, continuity, and a deep respect for institutional purpose. Germany showed me what rigor truly means, precision, structure, and an unwavering commitment to process. UAE revealed the value of speed and ambition, where boards benchmark globally and move with remarkable agility. And Switzerland reminded me of the strength that comes from neutrality, balance, and disciplined stakeholder engagement.

These experiences taught me that governance is not a template, it is a living system shaped by people, culture, and context.

The most effective boards do not copy; <u>they curate</u>. They take what works from global practice and adapt it to their own identity, ensuring governance becomes not only compliant, but meaningful, human, and genuinely effective. Multinational boards must navigate language, norms, and decision making styles, and the Corporate Governance Committee becomes the bridge that harmonizes these differences into a coherent governance culture. Culture is often the difference between formal compliance and genuine governance effectiveness

The Structure of the CGC Matters

A Corporate Governance Committee is only as strong as the people who shape it. In my experience, the most effective CGCs are built on a deliberate blend of perspectives: members with deep institutional memory who understand the company's evolution; independent members who bring objectivity, discipline, and an external lens; and the other invitee members of key executives: HR, IR, CFO, Strategy, ESG, IT/AI, and Compliance who anchor the committee in operational reality. But structure alone is not enough. CGC members must be equipped, not just appointed. They need continuous development, exposure to global governance practices, and short learning programs designed specifically for governance enhancement. This keeps them connected to trends, innovations, and the evolving expectations of investors and regulators.

Every member and invitee attendee must have a defined role. A CGC is not a room for passive listeners; it is a working body where each participant is a contributor, a challenger, and a guardian of governance quality. This clarity must be reinforced repeatedly so no one drifts into silence or disconnect. When the right people sit at the table with purpose, preparation, and a shared commitment to learning, the CGC becomes far more than a committee. It becomes the engine that shapes governance culture, strengthens decision-making, and elevates the board's overall effectiveness.

A Final Word: What Boards Must Do Now

From my experience working with boards across regions and governance cultures—and having been shaped by the methodologies of pioneer institutions such as IFC and EBRD, OECD and IFRS—one message stands out: **great governance is intentional**. Being trained by IFC, evaluated as an IFC and EBRD nominee director, and having worked alongside leading governance advisors and global experts taught me that boards that excel treat governance as a strategic capability; alive, evolving, and deeply connected to the organization's future. This requires discipline. It requires curiosity. And it requires a CGC that is empowered to lead.

In practice, what strengthens a CGC is not only its structures but the habits it cultivates. I have seen committees elevate their effectiveness through small but intentional practices: a Danish board's 30 minute "learning window" that deepened communication, or a UK company's "check in" ritual that ensured shared understanding before decisions. These examples show that governance is not merely procedural; it is relational, reflective, and shaped by the culture a board chooses to build.

Boards should regularly refresh their governance frameworks so they evolve with strategy, risk, and organizational maturity. Delegation of authority must be clear. Succession planning must be continuous. Emerging risks from sustainability to AI and cybersecurity must be integrated into oversight. Investor Relations should act as a strategic bridge. Governance culture must be shaped deliberately. And CGC members, independent directors, and key executives must have defined roles and real accountability.

The future of governance will belong to boards that can adapt, learn, and lead in complexity. Corporate Governance Committees are no longer administrative units; they are becoming the architects of governance maturity. With the right people, the right structure, and the right culture, the CGC becomes the board's compass, illuminating blind spots, challenging assumptions, and designing governance that fits the organization's identity and ambition.

A strong CGC is a catalyst for resilience, innovation, and long term value. **It is the place where governance stops being paperwork and starts becoming leadership.**